

## EXISTENCE OF MULTI-BUMP SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR KIRCHHOFF EQUATION

YONGPENG CHEN<sup>1</sup>, ZHIPENG YANG<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Science, Guangxi University of Science and Technology, Liuzhou, 545006, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming 650500, China*

**Abstract.** We consider the following Kirchhoff problem

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\right)\Delta u+(1+\varepsilon V(x))u=|u|^{p-2}u,$$

where  $a, b > 0$ , and  $2 < p < 6$ . Under suitable assumptions on  $V$ , by using the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, we obtain the existence of multi-bump solutions.

**Keywords.** Kirchhoff equation; Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method; Multi-bump solutions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of multi-bump solutions for the following nonlinear Kirchhoff equation:

$$-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\right)\Delta u+(1+\varepsilon V(x))u=|u|^{p-2}u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $a, b > 0, 2 < p < 6$ , and  $V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^+)$ . Eq. (1.1) is related to the stationary solutions of equation, which was derived from the classical D'Alembert wave equation obtained by Kirchhoff [14] in 1877 when considering the changes in the length of the string during vibrations,

$$u_{tt}-\left(a+b\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2\right)\Delta u=f(x, u), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $f(x, u)$  is a general nonlinearity, and  $u$  describes a process, which depends on the average of itself. It is worth pointing out in [1] that Eq. (1.2) models several physical systems. For more physical backgrounds, we refer the readers to [3] and the references therein.

Owing to the appearance of the terms  $(\int|\nabla u|^2 dx)\Delta u$ , problem (1.1) is nonlocal. Consequently, (1.1) is no longer a pointwise identity. This leads to some mathematical difficulties and makes studying such problems more interesting. After the pioneering work of [19], it has received much attention. The existence and qualitative properties of solutions for (1.1)

---

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yangzhipeng326@163.com (Z. Yang)

Received 19 January 2023; Accepted 9 September 2023; Published online 16 February 2024

have been studied a lot; see [8, 10, 11, 24, 27] for the existence of ground state solutions and [6, 7, 9, 21, 22, 25, 26, 28] for the existence of sign-changing solutions.

Now, the construction of specific forms of multi-bump solutions to Kirchhoff problem (1.1) is under the spotlight. In contrast with the single Schrödinger problem, the Kirchhoff problem contains the non-local term. Hence, we have to prove some new estimates. In 2020, Li et al. [15] focused on the problem

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + u = u^p, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{1.3}$$

for  $1 < p < 5$ . They first established a uniqueness and non-degeneracy result of positive solutions to (1.3), and they proved the existence of positive single-peak solutions to the related perturbed problem

$$-\left(\varepsilon^2 a + \varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + V(x)u = u^p, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{1.4}$$

In [23], Luo, Peng, Wang, and Xiang proved the existence of positive multi-peak positive solutions of (1.4) when  $V(x)$  satisfies some suitable assumptions. In [13], Hu and Shuai also obtained multiple positive solutions to this type of perturbation problem with general nonlinearity under some precise hypotheses. Recently, Liu [20] investigated the existence of multi-bump solutions for the following Kirchhoff equation

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2\right) \Delta u + u = (1 - \varepsilon q(x))|u|^{p-2}u, x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where  $a, b > 0, 2 < p < 6$ , and  $q(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^+)$  satisfies some suitable conditions. By using the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, he extended the results in [17] to the Kirchhoff problem.

Motivated by [16, 18, 20], the present paper is devoted to the existence of multi-bump solutions to Kirchhoff problem (1.1). We use the positive radical solution  $W_k$  of

$$-\left(a + kb \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2\right) \Delta w + w = w^{p-1}, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

as the building block of our approximate solutions. From [15], we have the following results about  $W_k$ .

Let  $u$  be the unique radical ground state to the equation:  $-a\Delta u + u = u^{p-1}$ . Then,  $W_k(x) = u\left(\frac{x}{\mu_k}\right)$ , where  $\mu_k$  is the positive root to equation  $\mu^2 - kb|\nabla u|_2^2\mu - a = 0$ . There exists  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} D^i W_k(x) |x| e^{\frac{|x|}{\mu_k}} = C_i \mu_k^{1-i}, i = 0, 1.$$

Moreover,  $W_k$  is nondegenerate in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  in the sense that there holds

$$\ker L = \text{span} \{ \partial_{x_1} W_k, \partial_{x_2} W_k, \partial_{x_3} W_k \},$$

where  $L$  is defined as

$$L\varphi = -\left(a + kb \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2\right) \Delta \varphi + \varphi - pW_k^{p-1} \varphi - 2kb \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_k \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) \Delta W_k,$$

acting on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with domain  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

In the Hilbert space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we use the following inner space

$$(u, v)_\varepsilon := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + (1 + \varepsilon V(x)) uv$$

and the induced norm  $\|u\|_\varepsilon := \sqrt{(u, u)_\varepsilon}$ . The usual inner in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is denoted by  $(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + uv$  and the corresponding norm is  $\|u\| := \sqrt{(u, u)}$ . Let

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_\varepsilon^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^p.$$

Then  $I_\varepsilon$  is well defined in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and belongs to  $C^1$  class.

In order to state our main results, we assume that the potential  $V(x)$  satisfies the following restrictions:

$$(V_1) : V(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^+) \text{ and } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = 0.$$

$$(V_2) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln V(x)}{|x|} = 0.$$

Now we have following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(V_1)$  and  $(V_2)$  hold. Then, for any positive integer  $k$ , there exists  $\varepsilon(k) > 0$  such that, for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon(k)$ , Eq. (1.1) has a  $k$ -bump positive solution.*

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we carry out the reduction procedure. In Section 3, the last section, we construct the multi-bump solution to (1.1).

**Notation.** In this paper, we make use of the following notations.

- For any  $R > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $B_R(x)$  denotes the open ball of radius  $R$  centered at  $x$ .
- The letter  $C$  and  $C_i$  stand for positive constants (possibly different from line to line).
- $\|u\|_q = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^q dx)^{\frac{1}{q}}$  denotes the norm of  $u$  in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for  $2 \leq q \leq 6$ .
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f$  means the Lebesgue integral of  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- The ordinary inner product between two vectors  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^3$  is denoted by  $a \cdot b$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For  $\lambda > 0$  and  $k \geq 2$ , define

$$\Omega_\lambda = \left\{ (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^k, |y_i - y_j| > \lambda \text{ for } i \neq j \right\},$$

and  $\Omega_\lambda = \mathbb{R}^3$  for  $k = 1$ . For  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \Omega_\lambda$ , denote  $W_y(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k W_{k,y_i}$ , where  $W_{k,y_i} = W_k(x - y_i)$ . Let  $y \in \Omega_\lambda$ , and define

$$\mathcal{H}_y = \left\{ \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j}^{p-2} \frac{\partial W_{k,y_j}}{\partial x_\alpha} \varphi = 0, \alpha = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, \dots, k \right\}.$$

Let  $J(\varphi) = I_\varepsilon(W_y + \varphi)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_y$ . We expand  $J(\varphi)$  as follows:

$$J(\varphi) =: J(0) + l_y(\varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \langle L_y \varphi, \varphi \rangle - R_y(\varphi), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_y,$$

where  $J(0) = I_\varepsilon(W_y)$  and  $l_y, L_y$ , and  $R_y$  are defined for  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_y$  as follows:

$$l_y(\varphi) = (W_y, \varphi)_\varepsilon + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_y|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^{p-1} \varphi,$$

and  $L_y$  is a bounded linear operator from  $\mathcal{H}_y$  to  $\mathcal{H}_y$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_y \varphi, \psi \rangle &= (\varphi, \psi)_\varepsilon + 2b \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \varphi \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \psi \right) \\ &\quad + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_y|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^{p-2} \varphi \psi, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_y(\varphi) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( |W_y + \varphi|^p - W_y^p - W_y^{p-1} \varphi - \frac{p(p-1)}{2} W_y^{p-2} \varphi^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right)^2 - b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we demonstrate that  $L_y$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{H}_y$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *There are constants  $\lambda_0 > 0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ , and  $C_0 > 0$  such that, for any  $\lambda > \lambda_0, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, y \in \Omega_\lambda$ , and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_y$ ,  $\|L_y \varphi\|_\varepsilon \geq C_0 \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* We make a contradiction argument. Assume that there exist  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\{y_{l,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^3, l = 1, \dots, k$ , with  $|y_{j,n} - y_{l,n}| \rightarrow \infty (j \neq l)$ , and  $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{H}_{y_n}$  with  $\|\varphi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} = 1$  such that

$$\|L_{y_n} \varphi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} = o(1) \|\varphi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} = o(1),$$

where  $y_n = (y_{1,n}, \dots, y_{k,n})$ . Up to a subsequence, we may assume that  $\varphi_n(\cdot + y_{j,n}) \rightharpoonup \varphi_j^*$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varphi_n(\cdot + y_{j,n}) \rightarrow \varphi_j^*$  strongly in  $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^{p-2} \frac{\partial W_{k,y_{j,n}}}{\partial x_\alpha} \varphi_n = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^{p-2} \frac{\partial W_k}{\partial x_\alpha} \varphi_n(x + y_{j,n}) = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Thus  $\varphi_j^*$  satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^{p-2} \frac{\partial W_k}{\partial x_\alpha} \varphi_j^* = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (2.1)$$

Define

$$\tilde{H} = \left\{ \phi : \phi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^{p-2} \frac{\partial W_k}{\partial x_\alpha} \phi = 0, \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) \|\phi\| &= \langle L_{y_n} \varphi_n, \phi \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a \nabla \varphi_n \cdot \nabla \phi + (1 + \varepsilon_n V(x)) \varphi_n \phi) + 2b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{y_n} \cdot \nabla \varphi_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{y_n} \cdot \nabla \phi \\ &\quad + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_{y_n}|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \varphi_n \cdot \nabla \phi - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{y_n}^{p-2} \varphi_n \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \tilde{H}$ . Then  $\phi_n(x) =: \phi(x - y_{j,n}) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Inserting  $\phi_n(x)$  into (2.2) and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a \nabla \varphi_j^* \cdot \nabla \phi + \varphi_j^* \phi) + 2kb \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_k \cdot \nabla \varphi_j^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_k \cdot \nabla \phi \\ &\quad + kb \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \varphi_j^* \cdot \nabla \phi - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^{p-2} \varphi_j^* \phi = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By the density of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we see that (2.3) also holds for any  $\phi \in \tilde{H}$ .

On the other hand, (2.3) is true for  $\frac{\partial W_k}{\partial x_\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ . Thus (2.3) is true for any  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Since  $W_k$  is non-degenerate, we can obtain

$$\varphi_j^* = \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 c_{\alpha,j} \frac{\partial W_k}{\partial x_\alpha}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

It follows from (2.1) that  $c_{\alpha,j} = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Consequently,  $\varphi_j^* = 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore, for any  $R > 0$ ,  $\int_{B_R(0)} \varphi_n(x + y_{j,n})^2 = o(1)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= o(1) \|\varphi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n} = \langle L_{y_n} \varphi_n, \varphi_n \rangle \\ &= \|\varphi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 + 2b \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{y_n} \cdot \nabla \varphi_n \right)^2 + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_{y_n}|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla \varphi_n|^2 - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{y_n}^{p-2} \varphi_n^2 \\ &\geq \|\varphi_n\|_{\varepsilon_n}^2 - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{y_n}^{p-2} \varphi_n^2 \\ &\geq 1 - C e^{-\frac{(p-2)R}{\mu_k}} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{B_R^c(0)} \varphi_n^2(x + y_{j,n}) - C \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{B_R(0)} \varphi_n^2(x + y_{j,n}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} + o_R(1) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which reaches a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $y \in \Omega_\lambda$ , there exists constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$|l_y(\varphi)| \leq C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k}|y_i - y_j|} + \varepsilon \right) \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon,$$

for large  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Since  $W_{k,y_i}$  is the weak solution to the equation

$$-\left( a + kb \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla w|^2 dx \right) \Delta w + w = w^{p-1},$$

we have

$$a \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{k,y_i} \cdot \nabla \varphi + kb \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_{k,y_i}|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{k,y_i} \cdot \nabla \varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i} \varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i}^{p-1} \varphi.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} l_y(\varphi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a \nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \varphi + (1 + \varepsilon V(x)) W_y \varphi) + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_y|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^{p-1} \varphi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \varphi \left( b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_y|^2 - kb \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i}^{p-1} \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^{p-1} \varphi + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5, for  $i \neq j$ , one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_{k,y_i} \cdot \nabla W_{k,y_j}| \leq C e^{-\frac{|y_i - y_j|}{\mu_k}}. \tag{2.4}$$

By Lemmas 2.7 and 2.10, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^{p-1} \varphi - \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i}^{p-1} \varphi \right| &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (W_y^{p-1} - \sum_{i=1}^k W_{k,y_i}^{p-1})^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\varphi|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i}^{p-1} W_{k,y_j} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k} |y_i - y_j|} \right) \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Then, it follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|l_y(\varphi)| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_y \cdot \nabla \varphi| \left( \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_{k,y_i} \cdot \nabla W_{k,y_j}| \right) \\
&\quad + C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k} |y_i - y_j|} \right) \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y |\varphi| \\
&\leq Ck |\nabla W_k|_2 \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{|y_i - y_j|}{\mu_k}} \right) + C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k} |y_i - y_j|} \right) \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + C\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \\
&\leq Ck \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{|y_i - y_j|}{\mu_k}} \right) \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k} |y_i - y_j|} \right) \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + C\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k} |y_i - y_j|} \right) \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon + C\varepsilon \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows immediately.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\|\varphi\|_\varepsilon \leq 1$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $y$ , such that  $\|R_y^{(i)}(\varphi)\| \leq C \|\varphi\|_\varepsilon^{p^* - i}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , where  $p^* = \min\{3, p\}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is the same as the proof of [12, Lemma 3.3], so we omit the details here.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.** *There exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ , there exists a  $C^1$  map  $v_{\lambda,\varepsilon} : \Omega_\lambda \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying*

$$(i) \text{ for any } y \in \Omega_\lambda, v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y} \in \mathcal{H}_y \text{ and } \left\langle \frac{\partial J(v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y})}{\partial v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y}}, \varphi \right\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_y,$$

$$(ii) \|v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y}\|_\varepsilon \leq \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k} |y_i - y_j| (1-\tau)} + \varepsilon^{1-\tau}, \text{ where } \tau > 0 \text{ is a sufficiently small number.}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we see that  $l_y$  is a bounded linear functional in  $\mathcal{H}_y$ , so there exists an  $l_{y,k} \in \mathcal{H}_y$  such that  $l_y(v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y}) = (l_{y,k}, v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y})_\varepsilon$ . Thus, finding a critical point of  $J(v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y})$  is equivalent to solving  $l_{y,k} + L_y v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y} - R'_y(v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y}) = 0$ . From Lemma 2.1, we only need to solve

$$v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y} = T(v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y}) =: -L_y^{-1} l_{y,k} + L_y^{-1} R'_y(v_{\lambda,\varepsilon,y}).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y} : v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y} \in \mathcal{H}_y, \|v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}\|_\varepsilon \leq \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k}|y_i - y_j|(1-\tau)} + \varepsilon^{1-\tau} \right\}$$

where  $\tau > 0$  is a small constant. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\|R_y^{(i)}(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y})\| \leq C \|v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}\|_\varepsilon^{p^* - i}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

where  $p^* = \min\{3, p\}$ . In view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y})\|_\varepsilon &\leq C \|l_{y,k}\| + C \|R'_y(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y})\| \\ &\leq C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k}|y_i - y_j|} + \varepsilon \right) + C \left( \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k}|y_i - y_j|(1-\tau)} + \varepsilon^{1-\tau} \right)^{p^* - 1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k}|y_i - y_j|(1-\tau)} + \varepsilon^{1-\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $T(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $p^* - 2 > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^1) - T(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^2)\|_\varepsilon &\leq C \|R'_y(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^1) - R'_y(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^2)\| \\ &\leq C \left( \|v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^1\|_\varepsilon^{p^* - 2} + \|v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^2\|_\varepsilon^{p^* - 2} \right) \|v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^1 - v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^2\|_\varepsilon \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^1 - v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y}^2\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $T$  is a contraction map. Thus, by contraction mapping theorem, we see that there exists  $v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y} \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y} = T(v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y})$ . Moreover, similar to the proof in [5], we have that  $v_{\lambda, \varepsilon}$  is a  $C^1$  map with respect  $y$ . The proof is finished.  $\square$

For any  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \in \Omega_\lambda$ , define  $f_{k, \varepsilon}(y) = f_{k, \varepsilon}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) = I_\varepsilon(W_y + v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y})$ . From Proposition 2.1, we derive the following result, whose proof is standard and thus is omitted (see, e.g., [4, 18])

**Lemma 2.4.** *For large  $\lambda$  and small  $\varepsilon$ , if  $y^0 = (y_1^0, \dots, y_k^0) \in \Omega_\lambda$  is a critical point to  $f_{k, \varepsilon}$ , then  $W_{y^0} + v_{\lambda, \varepsilon, y^0}$  is a critical point to  $I_\varepsilon$ .*

We also give some technical lemmas which are useful in our proof, and some of them can be founded in [2, 15, 17, 18].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $u, u' : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two positive continuous radical function such that  $u(x) \sim |x|^a e^{-b|x|}$  and  $u'(x) \sim |x|^{a'} e^{-b'|x|}$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ), where  $a, a' \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $b, b' > 0$ . If  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$  tend to infinity, then the following asymptotic estimates hold. (1) If  $b < b'$ , then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_\xi u' \sim |\xi|^a e^{-b|\xi|}$ . (2) If  $b = b'$  (suppose, for simplicity, that  $a > a'$ ), then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_\xi u' \sim \begin{cases} |\xi|^{a+a'+2} e^{-b|\xi|}, & a' > -2, \\ |\xi|^a e^{-b|\xi|} \log |\xi|, & a' = -2, \\ |\xi|^a e^{-b|\xi|}, & a' < -2. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *For  $p > 1$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\| |a + b|^p - |a|^p - |b|^p \| \leq C |a|^{p-1} |b| + C |a| |b|^{p-1}.$$

**Lemma 2.7.** For  $p \geq 2$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for any  $a_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$\left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \right)^{p-1} - \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq C \sum_{i \neq j} a_i^{p-1} a_j.$$

**Lemma 2.8.** For  $p \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $a_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \right)^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^p + 2(p-1) \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq k} a_l^{p-1} a_j.$$

**Lemma 2.9.** For  $p \geq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $a_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \right)^p \geq \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^p + p \sum_{1 \leq l < j \leq k} a_l^{p-1} a_j.$$

**Lemma 2.10.** There exists a positive constant  $C > 0$  such that, as  $|y_i - y_j| \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i}^{p-1} W_{k,y_j} \sim C |y_i - y_j|^{-1} e^{-\frac{|y_i - y_j|}{\mu_k}}.$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. We first consider the case  $k \geq 2$ . Define

$$d = \sup_{y \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y^2.$$

Choose a number  $m$  such that  $m > \max\{1, \frac{3pd}{p-2}\}$ , and set

$$e = \min \left\{ \varepsilon_0, \left( \frac{m(p-2)}{2pC_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)-1}}, \frac{1}{m} |W_k|_p^p \right\},$$

where  $C_3$  is the positive constant in Lemma 3.1,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the number in Lemma 2.1, and  $\tau$  is the small number in Proposition 2.1 and can be chosen such that  $\frac{1}{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)-1} > 0$ . Then, for

any  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < e$ , there exist  $\lambda^* = \lambda^*(\varepsilon) > \tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that, for  $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $|z| \in [\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon), \lambda^*(\varepsilon)]$ ,

$$m\varepsilon \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^{p-1} W_{k,z} \leq 2m\varepsilon. \quad (3.1)$$

Define  $F_\varepsilon := \sup \{ f_{k,\varepsilon}(y) \mid y \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)} \}$ . In order to obtain a  $k$ -bump solution of (1.1), it suffices to prove that  $F_\varepsilon$  is achieved in the interior of  $\Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}$

**Lemma 3.1.** . Let  $k \geq 2$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small,

$$F_\varepsilon > \sup \left\{ f_{k,\varepsilon}(y) \mid y \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)} \text{ and } |y_i - y_j| \in [\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon), \lambda^*(\varepsilon)] \text{ for some } i \neq j \right\}.$$



*Proof.* From (3.1) and Lemma 2.10, we can obtain  $\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon) = O(\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then, for  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}$ , we have

$$|y_i - y_j|^{-1} e^{-\frac{|y_i - y_j|}{\mu_k}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Thus, for  $\tau$  small enough,

$$e^{-\frac{|y_i - y_j|}{\mu_k}(1-\tau)} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2\tau}.$$

Then, by Proposition 2.1, for  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}$ , we have

$$\left\| v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y} \right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-\frac{p-1}{p\mu_k} |y_i - y_j| (1-\tau)} + \varepsilon^{1-\tau} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{p-1}{p}(1-2\tau)}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\langle L_y v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}, v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y} \right\rangle \leq C \left\| v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y} \right\|_{\varepsilon}^2$$

and

$$\left| R_y \left( v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y} \right) \right| \leq C \left\| v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y} \right\|_{\varepsilon}^{p^*},$$

where  $p^* = \min\{3, p\} > 2$ . By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( a |\nabla W_y|^2 + |W_y|^2 \right) \\ &= k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( a |\nabla W_k|^2 + |W_k|^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( a \nabla W_{k, y_j} \cdot \nabla W_{k, y_l} + W_{k, y_j} W_{k, y_l} \right) \\ &= k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left( a |\nabla W_k|^2 + |W_k|^2 \right) + 2 \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^{p-1} W_{k, y_l} - 2 \sum_{j < l} k b \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{k, y_j} \cdot \nabla W_{k, y_l}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_y|^2 \right)^2 &= k^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2 + 4k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{k, y_j} \cdot \nabla W_{k, y_l} \\ &\quad + 4 \left( \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{k, y_j} \cdot \nabla W_{k, y_l} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Letting  $\tau$  small enough, we have

$$\left( \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla W_{k, y_j} \cdot \nabla W_{k, y_l} \right)^2 \leq C e^{-\frac{2|y_i - y_j|}{\mu_k}} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{2(1-2\tau)}{1-\tau}} \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \quad (3.4)$$

Then, from (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_\varepsilon(W_y + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^-) \\
&= I_\varepsilon(W_y) + l_y \left( v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^- \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle L_y v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^-, v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^- \right\rangle - R_y \left( v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^- \right) \\
&= I_\varepsilon(W_y) + O \left( \|l_y\| \|v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^-\| \varepsilon + \|v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^-\|_\varepsilon^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|W_y\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_y|^2 \right)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^p + O \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \right) \\
&= c_k + \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^{p-1} W_{k, y_l} + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^p \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y^2 + O \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \right) \\
&= c_k - L_y + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_k = \frac{k}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a |\nabla W_k|^2 + W_k^2) + \frac{bk^2}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{k}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^p$$

and

$$L_y = - \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^{p-1} W_{k, y_l} - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^p + O \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \right).$$

Assume that  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}$  and  $|y_j - y_l| \in [\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon), \lambda^*(\varepsilon)]$  for some  $j \neq l$ . Then, by (3.1) and Lemma 2.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_y &= - \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^{p-1} W_{k, y_l} - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^p + O \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \right) \\
&\geq \frac{p-2}{p} \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k, y_j}^{p-1} W_{k, y_l} - C_3 \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \\
&\geq \frac{p-2}{p} m \varepsilon - C_3 \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \\
&\geq \frac{3}{2} d \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$f_{k, \varepsilon}(y) = I_\varepsilon(W_y + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y}^-) \leq c_k - \frac{3d}{2} \varepsilon + \frac{d}{2} \varepsilon = c_k - d \varepsilon. \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, if  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}}$  with  $|y_j - y_l| \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $j \neq l$ , then we find from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} L_y &= - \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j}^{p-1} W_{k,y_l} - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_y^p + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j}^{p-1} W_{k,y_l} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right) \\ &= o(1) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $o(1)$  denotes some quantities depend only on  $y$  and converge to 0 as  $|y_l - y_j| \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k,\varepsilon}(y) &= I_\varepsilon\left(W_y + v_{\tilde{\lambda},\varepsilon,y}\right) = c_k - L_y + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y^2 \\ &\geq c_k + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_y^2 - C\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small,  $\liminf_{|y_i - y_j| \rightarrow \infty} f_{k,\varepsilon}(y) \geq c_k$ . This together with (3.5) obtains the desired result immediately.  $\square$

Choose  $y^{(h)}(\varepsilon) = \left(y_1^{(h)}(\varepsilon), \dots, y_k^{(h)}(\varepsilon)\right) \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}$  such that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} f_{k,\varepsilon}\left(y_1^{(h)}(\varepsilon), \dots, y_k^{(h)}(\varepsilon)\right) = F_\varepsilon$ . By Lemma 3.1, we can obtain  $\inf_h \min_{l \neq j} \left|y_l^{(h)}(\varepsilon) - y_j^{(h)}(\varepsilon)\right| \geq \lambda^*$ . Then, for any  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , after passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume either  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} y_l^{(h)}(\varepsilon) = y_l^{(0)}(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\left|y_l^{(0)}(\varepsilon) - y_j^{(0)}(\varepsilon)\right| \geq \lambda^*$  for  $l \neq j$  or  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left|y_l^{(h)}(\varepsilon)\right| = \infty$ . Let

$$\Pi(\varepsilon) = \left\{ 1 \leq l \leq k : \left|y_l^{(h)}(\varepsilon)\right| \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } h \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

We shall prove that  $\Pi(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough and hence  $f_{k,\varepsilon}$  achieves its maximum at

$$\left(y_1^{(0)}(\varepsilon), \dots, y_k^{(0)}(\varepsilon)\right) \in \text{int}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}\right).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $k \geq 2$ . If conditions  $(V_1)$  and  $(V_2)$  hold, then there exists  $\varepsilon(k) > 0$  such that, for  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon(k))$ ,  $\Pi(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\Pi(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$  along a sequence  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\Pi(\varepsilon_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, l_k\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for some  $1 \leq l_k < k$ . The case  $l_k = k$  can be handled similarly. For simplicity, denote  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$  and  $\left(y_1^{(h)}, \dots, y_k^{(h)}\right) = \left(y_1^{(h)}(\varepsilon_n), \dots, y_k^{(h)}(\varepsilon_n)\right)$  for  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . As  $h \rightarrow \infty$ , one has

$$\left|y_1^{(h)}\right| \rightarrow \infty, \dots, \left|y_{l_k}^{(h)}\right| \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } y_{l_k+1}^{(h)} \rightarrow y_{l_k+1}^{(0)}, \dots, y_k^{(h)} \rightarrow y_k^{(0)}.$$

Let

$$y^{(h)} = \left(y_1^{(h)}, \dots, y_k^{(h)}\right), y_*^{(h)} = \left(y_{l_k+1}^{(h)}, \dots, y_k^{(h)}\right),$$

and define

$$W_h = \sum_{l=1}^k W_{k,y_l^{(h)}}, W_{h,1} = \sum_{l=1}^{l_k} W_{k,y_l^{(h)}}, W_{h,2} = \sum_{l=l_k+1}^k W_{k,y_l^{(h)}}.$$

Similar to the computation in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{k,\varepsilon} \left( y_1^{(h)}, \dots, y_k^{(h)} \right) \\
&= I_\varepsilon \left( W_h + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y^{(h)}} \right) \\
&= kE_k - L_{y^{(h)}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_h^2 + \frac{bk^2}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2 \\
&= l_k E_k + (k - l_k) E_k - L_{y_*^{(h)}} + L_{y_*^{(h)}} - L_{y^{(h)}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_{h,2}^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_{h,2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_h^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{b(k - l_k)^2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 + \frac{bk^2}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2 - \frac{b(k - l_k)^2}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2 \\
&= l_k E_k + I_\varepsilon \left( W_{h,2} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y_*^{(h)}} \right) + L_{y_*^{(h)}} - L_{y^{(h)}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_h^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_{h,2}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{bl_k(2k - l_k)}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$E_k = \frac{k}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a|\nabla W_k|^2 + W_k^2) - \frac{k}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^p,$$

$$L_{y^{(h)}} = - \sum_{j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(h)}}^{p-1} W_{k,y_l^{(h)}} - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(h)}}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_h^p + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right),$$

and

$$L_{y_*^{(h)}} = - \sum_{l_k < j < l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(h)}}^{p-1} W_{k,y_l^{(h)}} - \sum_{j=l_k+1}^k \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(h)}}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{h,2}^p + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right).$$

Then, by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{y_*^{(h)}} - L_{y^{(h)}} &= \sum_{j < l \leq l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(h)}}^{p-1} W_{k,y_l^{(h)}} + \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(h)}}^{p-1} W_{h,2} + \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(h)}}^p \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{h,2}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_h^p + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right) \\
&< O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (V<sub>1</sub>) and  $y_l^{(h)} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, l_k$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_h^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_{h,2}^2 = o(1),$$

where  $o(1)$  converge to 0 as  $h \rightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $h \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.6), we have

$$M_\varepsilon \leq l_k E_k + I_\varepsilon \left( W_{y_*^{(0)}} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y_*^{(0)}} \right) + \frac{bl_k(2k - l_k)}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2 + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right) \tag{3.7}$$

In view of Lemma 2.10 and (3.1), we have  $C_4\varepsilon \leq \tilde{\lambda}^{-1}e^{-\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{\mu_k}} \leq C_5\varepsilon$ , which implies that

$$\frac{2}{3}\mu_k \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < \tilde{\lambda} < 2\mu_k \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \tag{3.8}$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough. Choose  $\delta$  such that

$$0 < \delta < \frac{2(p-1)(1-2\tau) - p}{14kp}.$$

From assumption (V<sub>2</sub>), one sees that there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$V(x) \geq e^{-\delta|x|}, \quad |x| \geq T. \tag{3.9}$$

Define

$$\bar{y}_l^{(\varepsilon)} = (14k \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 6l\tilde{\lambda} - 1, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

We know that the open balls  $B(\bar{y}_l^{(\varepsilon)}, 3\tilde{\lambda})$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) are mutually disjoint. Thus there are  $l_k$  integers from  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , denoted by  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{l_k}$ , such that  $|\bar{y}_{t_i}^{(\varepsilon)} - \bar{y}_j^{(0)}| \geq 3\tilde{\lambda}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l_k, j = l_k + 1, \dots, k$ . Denote  $\bar{y}_{t_i}^{(\varepsilon)}$  by  $y_i^{(\varepsilon)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l_k$ . Then, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,

$$T + 1 \leq |y_i^{(\varepsilon)}| \leq 14k \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, l_k, \tag{3.10}$$

$$|y_i^{(\varepsilon)} - y_j^{(\varepsilon)}| \geq 3\tilde{\lambda}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq l_k, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$|y_i^{(\varepsilon)} - y_j^{(0)}| \geq 3\tilde{\lambda}, \quad i = 1, \dots, l_k, \quad j = l_k + 1, \dots, k. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore

$$(y_1^{(\varepsilon)}, \dots, y_{l_k}^{(\varepsilon)}, y_{l_k+1}^{(0)}, \dots, y_k^{(0)}) \in \Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}}.$$

Denote

$$y^{(\varepsilon)} = (y_1^{(\varepsilon)}, \dots, y_{l_k}^{(\varepsilon)}, y_{l_k+1}^{(0)}, \dots, y_k^{(0)}) \quad \text{and} \quad y_*^{(0)} = (y_{l_k+1}^{(0)}, \dots, y_k^{(0)}).$$

Let  $W_{\varepsilon,1} = \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}}$  and  $W_{\varepsilon,2} = \sum_{j=l_k+1}^k W_{k,y_j^{(0)}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(W_{y^{(\varepsilon)}} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y^{(\varepsilon)}}) &= l_k E_k + I_\varepsilon(W_{y_*^{(0)}} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y_*^{(0)}}) + L_{y_*^{(0)}} - L_{y^{(\varepsilon)}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) (W_{\varepsilon,1} + W_{\varepsilon,2})^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_{\varepsilon,2}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{bl_k(2k - l_k)}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) (W_{\varepsilon,1} + W_{\varepsilon,2})^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_{\varepsilon,2}^2 &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V(x) W_{k,y_1^{(\varepsilon)}}^2 \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|x-y_1^{(\varepsilon)}| \leq 1} V(x) W_{k,y_1^{(\varepsilon)}}^2 \\ &\geq C_6 \varepsilon e^{-\delta(|y_1^{(\varepsilon)}|+1)} \geq C_6 \varepsilon e^{-\delta 14k \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = C_6 \varepsilon^{14k\delta+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{y_*^{(0)}} - L_{y^{(\varepsilon)}} &= \sum_{j < l \leq l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}}^{p-1} W_{k,y_l^{(\varepsilon)}} + \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}}^{p-1} W_{\varepsilon,2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{\varepsilon,2}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (W_{\varepsilon,1} + W_{\varepsilon,2})^p + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right) \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{\varepsilon,2}^p - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (W_{\varepsilon,1} + W_{\varepsilon,2})^p + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right) \\
&\geq -C \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}}^{p-1} W_{\varepsilon,2} - C \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{\varepsilon,2}^{p-1} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}} \\
&\quad - C \sum_{l \leq i < j \leq l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i^{(\varepsilon)}}^{p-1} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.10, (3.8) and (3.11), we have

$$\sum_{l \leq i < j \leq l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_i^{(\varepsilon)}}^{p-1} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}} = o(1) e^{\frac{-3\tilde{\lambda}}{\mu_k}} = o(1) e^{\frac{-3\mu_k \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\mu_k}} = o(\varepsilon^2), \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

According to (3.12), a similar argument shows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}}^{p-1} W_{\varepsilon,2} + \sum_{j=1}^{l_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_{\varepsilon,2}^{p-1} W_{k,y_j^{(\varepsilon)}} = o(\varepsilon^2), \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $L_{y_*^{(0)}} - L_{y^{(\varepsilon)}} \geq O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)}\right)$ , which with (3.13) and (3.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\varepsilon \left( W_{y^{(\varepsilon)}} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y^{(\varepsilon)}} \right) &\geq l_k E_k + I_\varepsilon \left( W_{y_*^{(0)}} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y_*^{(0)}} \right) + C_6 \varepsilon^{14k\delta+1} - C_7 \varepsilon^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}(1-2\tau)} \\
&\geq l_k E_k + I_\varepsilon \left( W_{y_*^{(0)}} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y_*^{(0)}} \right) + \frac{bl_k(2k-l_k)}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 \right)^2 + C_8 \varepsilon^{14k\delta+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (3.7). Thus,  $\Pi(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$  and  $f_{k,\varepsilon}$  achieves its maximum at some point  $y^0 \in \text{int}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}\right)$ .  $\square$

We are now to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** For  $k \geq 2$ , by Lemma 3.2, if  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon(k)$ , then  $f_{k,\varepsilon}$  achieves its maximum at some point  $y^0 \in \text{int}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{\lambda}(\varepsilon)}\right)$ . Therefore,  $W_{y^0} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y^0}$  is a  $k$ -bump solution to (1.1).

For  $k = 1$ , by Proposition 2.1, if  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ , then

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} f_{k,\varepsilon}(y) = \lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} I_\varepsilon \left( W_y + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \|W_k\|^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla W_k|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_k^p.$$

Since  $f_{k,\varepsilon}$  is defined on all  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we have that  $f_{k,\varepsilon}$  has a critical point  $y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $W_{y^0} + v_{\tilde{\lambda}, \varepsilon, y^0}$  is a 1-bump solution to (1.1). This completes the proof.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her careful readings of our manuscript and the useful comments. Y. Chen was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12161007), Guangxi Natural Science Foundation Project(2023GXNSFAA026190), and Guangxi science and technology base and talent project (AD21238019). Z. Yang was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12301145), Yunnan Province Applied Basic Research for Youths Projects (202301AU070144), Scientific Research Fund of Yunnan Educational Commission (2023J0199), and Yunnan Key Laboratory of Modern Analytical Mathematics and Applications (202302AN360007).

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, T. F. Ma, Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49 (2005), 85–93.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, E. Colorado, D. Ruiz, Multi-bump solitons to linearly coupled systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 30 (2007), 85–112.
- [3] A. Arosio, S. Panizzi, On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348 (1996), 305–330.
- [4] D. Cao, H. Heinz, Uniqueness of positive multi-lump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Math. Z.* 243 (2003), 599–642.
- [5] D. Cao, Z. Tang, Existence and uniqueness of multi-bump bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields, *J. Differential Equations* 222 (2006), 381–424.
- [6] D. Cassani, Z. Liu, C. Tarsi, J. Zhang, Multiplicity of sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff-type equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 186 (2019), 145–161.
- [7] Y. Deng, S. Peng, W. Shuai, Existence and asymptotic behavior of nodal solutions for the Kirchhoff-type problems in  $R^3$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* 269 (2015), 3500–3527.
- [8] H. Fan, X. Liu, On the multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions to a Kirchhoff-type problem with competing potentials, *J. Math. Phys.* 63 (2022), 011512.
- [9] G. Gu, X. Yang, Z. Yang, Infinitely many sign-changing solutions for nonlinear fractional Kirchhoff equations, *Appl. Anal.* 101 (2022), 5850–5871.
- [10] G. Gu, Z. Yang, On the singularly perturbation fractional Kirchhoff equations: Critical case, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.* 11 (2022), 1097–1116.
- [11] D. Hu, X. Tang, N. Zhang, Semiclassical ground state solutions for a class of Kirchhoff-Type problem with convolution nonlinearity, *J. Geom. Anal.* 32 (2022), 272.
- [12] T. Hu, L. Lu, Infinitely many positive solutions for Kirchhoff equations with competing coefficients, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 70 (2019), 53.
- [13] T. Hu, W. Shuai, Multi-peak solutions to Kirchhoff equations in  $R^3$  with general nonlinearity, *J. Differential Equations* 265 (2018), 3587–3617.
- [14] G. Kirchhoff, *Vorlesungen über Mathematische Physik, Mechanik, Lecture 19*, Leipzig, Teubner, 1877.
- [15] G. Li, P. Luo, S. Peng, C. Wang, C. Xiang, A singularly perturbed Kirchhoff problem revisited, *J. Differential Equations*, 268 (2020), 541–589.
- [16] G. Li, S. Peng, C. Wang, Multi-bump solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Poisson system, *J. Math. Phys.*, 52 (2011), 053505.
- [17] L. Lin, Z. Liu, Multi-bump solutions and multi-tower solutions for equations on  $R^N$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (2009), 485–505.
- [18] L. Lin, Z. Liu, S. Chen, Multi-bump solutions for a semilinear Schrödinger equation, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 58 (2009), 1659–1689.
- [19] J.-L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, In: *Contemporary developments in continuum mechanics and partial differential equations (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Inst. Mat., Univ. Fed. Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1977)*, volume 30 of North-Holland Math. Stud. pp. 284–346, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [20] W. Liu, Multi-bump solutions for Kirchhoff equation in  $R^3$ , *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 73 (2022), 129.

- [21] Z. Liu, Y. Lou, J. Zhang, A perturbation approach to studying sign-changing solutions of Kirchhoff equations with a general nonlinearity, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 201 (2022), 1229–1255.
- [22] S. Lu, Signed and sign-changing solutions for a Kirchhoff-type equation in bounded domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 432 (2015), 965–982.
- [23] P. Luo, S. Peng, C. Wang, C. Xiang, Multi-peak positive solutions to a class of Kirchhoff equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 149 (2019), 1097–1122.
- [24] Z. Shen, J. Yu, Cylindrical solutions and ground state solutions to weighted Kirchhoff equations, *J. Geom. Anal.* 32 (2022), 260.
- [25] W. Shuai, Sign-changing solutions for a class of Kirchhoff-type problem in bounded domains, *J. Differential Equations* 259 (2015), 1256–1274.
- [26] X. Tang, B. Cheng, Ground state sign-changing solutions for Kirchhoff type problems in bounded domains, *J. Differential Equations* 261 (2016), 2384–2402.
- [27] Z. Yang, Non-degeneracy of positive solutions for fractional Kirchhoff problems: high dimensional cases, *J. Geom. Anal.* 32 (2022), 139.
- [28] X. Zhong, C. Tang, Nodal solutions for a critical Kirchhoff type problem in  $R^N$ , *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 58 (2021), 549–568.